arXiv:1604.05579v1 [math.CA] 19 Apr 2016

ON THE BILINEAR SQUARE FOURIER MULTIPLIER OPERATORS AND RELATED MULTILINEAR SQUARE FUNCTIONS

ZENGYAN SI, QINGYING XUE, AND KÔZÔ YABUTA

Abstract. Let $n \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{T}_m$ be the bilinear square Fourier multiplier operator associated with a symbol m, which is defined by

$$\mathfrak{T}_m(f_1,f_2)(x)=\left(\int_0^\infty \Big| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2} e^{2\pi i x\cdot(\xi_1+\xi_2)} m(t\xi_1,t\xi_2)\hat{f}_1(\xi_1)\hat{f}_2(\xi_2)d\xi_1 d\xi_2\Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let s be an integer with $s\in[n+1,2n]$ and p_0 be a number satisfying $2n/s\leq p_0\leq 2$. Suppose that $\nu_{\vec{\omega}}=\prod_{i=1}^2\omega_i^{p/p_i}$ and each ω_i is a nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this paper, we show that $\mathfrak{T}_m$ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\omega_1)\times L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$ to $L^p(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})$ if $p_0<p_1,p_2<\infty$ with $1/p=1/p_1+1/p_2$. Moreover, if $p_0>2n/s$ and $p_1=p_0$ or $p_2=p_0$, then $\mathfrak{T}_m$ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\omega_1)\times L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$ to $L^{p,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})$. The weighted end-point $L\log L$ type estimate and strong estimate for the commutators of $\mathfrak{T}_m$ are also given. These were done by considering the boundedness of some related multilinear square functions associated with mild regularity kernels and essentially improving some basic lemmas which have been used before.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** The multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators were first introduced and studied by Coifman and Meyer [6, 7], and later on by Grafakos and Torres [11, 18]. Due to the close relationship between the Calderón-Zygmund operators and Littlewood-Paley operators, in the meantime, the multilinear Littlewood-Paley g-function and related multilinear Littlewood-Paley type estimates were used in PDE and other fields ([4, 5, 9, 13, 14, 15]). For example, in [15], the authors studied a class of multilinear square functions and applied it to the well-known Kato's problem. For more works about multilinear Littlewood-Paley type operators, see [3, 29] and the references therein. Recently, in the theory of multilinear operators, efforts have been made to remove or replace the smoothness condition assumed on the kernels, among these achievements are the nice works of Bui and Duong [1], Grafakos, Liu

Date: April 20, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Multilinear square functions; Fourier multiplier operator; multiple weights; commutators.

The first author and second author were supported by NSFC (No.11401175, No.11501169 and No. 11471041), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2014KJJCA10) and NCET-13-0065. The third named author was supported partly by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Nr. 15K04942, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Corresponding author: Qingying Xue Email: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn.

and Yang [19], Tomita [30], Grafakos, Miyachi and Tomita [20] and more recent work of Grafakos, He and Honzík [17].

It is also well known that the following N-linear ($N \geq 1$) Fourier multiplier operator T_m was introduced by Coifman and Meyer in [8].

$$T_m(f_1, \cdots, f_N)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{nN}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^N} e^{ix\cdot(\xi_1+\cdots+\xi_N)} m(\xi) \widehat{f_1}(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f_N}(\xi_N) d\xi.$$

Suppose that m is a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^{nN}\backslash\{0\}$ and it satisfies that

$$|\partial_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{\xi_N}^{\alpha_N} m(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N)| \leq C_\alpha (|\xi_1| + \cdots + |\xi_m|)^{-(|\alpha_1|+\cdots+|\alpha_N|)}, \tag{1.1}$$

away from the origin for all sufficiently large multiindices α_j. Then, it was shown in [8] that T_m is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{p_N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In 2010, by weakening the smoothness condition (1.1), Tomita [30] gave a Hörmander type theorem for T_m. Later, Grafakos and Si [21] gave a similar result for the case $p \leq 1$ by using the L^r-based Sobolev spaces ($1 < r \leq 2$). Subsequently, Grafakos, Miyachi and Tomita [20] proved that if $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{nN})$ satisfies $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \|m_k\|_{W^{(s_1,\cdots,s_N)}(\mathbb{R}^{nN})} < \infty$ with $s_1, \cdots, s_N > n/2$, then T_m is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A weighted version of the results in [30] for T_m was given by Fujita and Tomita [12] under the Hörmander condition with classical A_p weights. Recently, Li and Sun [25] demonstrated a Hörmander type multiplier theorem for T_m with multiple weights. Furthermore, they obtained some weighted estimates for the commutators of T_m with vector version of BMO functions. Still more recenty, Li, Xue and Yabuta [26] considered the estimates about weighted Carleson measure, and consequently they obtained some weighted results of T_m by considering the missing endpoint parts of the results in [12].

1.2. **Results on multilinear Fourier multiplier.** It is also well known that Lacey [23] studied the following bilinear Littlewood-Paley square function defined by

$$T(f,g)(x) = \bigg(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |T_{\phi_l}(f,g)(x)|^2 \bigg)^{1/2},$$

where the bilinear operator T_{ϕ_l} associated with a smooth function ϕ_l whose Fourier transform is supported in ω_l is defined by

$$T_{\phi_l}(f,g)(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)\hat{\phi}_l(\xi-\eta) e^{2\pi i x\cdot(\xi+\eta)} d\xi d\eta,$$

and $\{\omega_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a sequence of disjoint cubes. The study on bilinear Littlewood-Paley square function has two motivations: One is Alberto Calderón's conjectures on bilinear Hilbert transform; Another one is the norm inequalities of Littlewood-Paley type operators.

Our object of investigation is the bilinear square Fourier multiplier operator

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{T}_m(f_1,f_2)(x)\\ &=\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}e^{2\pi ix\cdot(\xi_1+\xi_2)}m(t\xi_1,t\xi_2)\hat{f}_1(\xi_1)\hat{f}_2(\xi_2)d\xi_1d\xi_2\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

Let $K_t(x,y_1,y_2)=\frac{1}{t^{2n}}\check{m}\big(\frac{x-y_1}{t},\frac{x-y_2}{t}\big)$. Then, $\mathfrak{T}_m$ can be written in the form

$$\mathfrak{T}_m(\vec{f})(x)=\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}K_t(x,y_1,y_2)f_1(y_1)f_2(y_2)dy_1dy_2\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

The commutator of $\mathfrak{T}_m$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{T}_m^{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)=\sum_{i=1}^2\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}(b_i(x)-b_i(y))K_t(x,y_1,y_2)f_1(y_1)f_2(y_2)dy_1dy_2\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

In this paper, we always assume that $m\in L^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n)^2)$ and satisfies the conditions

$$|\partial^\alpha m(\xi_1,\xi_2)|\lesssim\frac{(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{-|\alpha|+\varepsilon_1}}{(1+|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}}\tag{1.3}$$

for some $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2>0$ and $|\alpha|\le s$.

The main results of this paper are:

Theorem 1.1. *Let s be an integer with $s\in[n+1,2n]$ and p_0 be a number satisfying $2n/s\le p_0\le 2$. Let $p_0\le p_1,p_2<\infty$, $1/p=1/p_1+1/p_2$, and $\vec{\omega}\in A_{\vec{P}/p_0}$. Suppose that $m\in L^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n)^2)$ satisfies (1.3) and that the bilinear square Fourier multiplier operator $\mathfrak{T}_m$ is bounded from $L^{q_1}\times L^{q_2}$ into $L^{q,\infty}$, for any $p_0<q_1,q_2$ and $1/q=1/q_1+1/q_2$. Then the following weighted estimates hold.*

(i) *If $p_1,p_2>p_0$, then $||\mathfrak{T}_m(\vec{f})||_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})}\le C||f_1||_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)}||f_2||_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}$.*
(ii) *If $p_0>2n/s$ and $p_1=p_0$ or $p_2=p_0$, then*

$$||\mathfrak{T}_m(\vec{f})||_{L^{p,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})}\le C||f_1||_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)}||f_2||_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let s, p_0,p_1,p_2,p, $\vec{\omega}$, m and $\mathfrak{T}_m$ be the same as in Theorem 1.1. Then the following weighted estimates hold for the commutators of $\mathfrak{T}_m(\vec{f})$.*

(i) *If $p_1,p_2>p_0$, then for any $\vec{b}\in BMO^2$, it holds that*

$$||\mathfrak{T}_m^{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})||_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})}\le C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}||f_1||_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)}||f_2||_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)},$$

where $||\vec{b}||_{BMO}=\max_j||b_j||_{BMO}$.

(ii) *Let $\vec{\omega}\in A_{\vec{1}}$ and $\vec{b}\in BMO^m$. Then, there exists a constant C (depending on $\vec{b}$) such that*

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}\big(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|\mathfrak{T}_m^{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)|>t^2\}\big)\le C\prod_{j=1}^2\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi\Big(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{t}\Big)\omega_j(x)\Big)^{1/2},$$

where $\Phi(t)=t^{p_0}(1+\log^+t)^{p_0}$.

The above results still hold for m-linear square Fourier multiplier operators. An example will be given in section 2, which shows that the assumption that $\mathfrak{T}_m$ is bounded from $L^{q_1} \times L^{q_2}$ into $L^{q,\infty}$ in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is reasonable. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 will be based on the results of multilinear square functions obtained in the next subsection.

1.3. **Results on multilinear square functions.** In order to state more known results, we need to introduce some definitions.

Definition 1.1 (**Multilinear operator and multilinear square function**). Let K be a locally integrable function defined away from the diagonal $x = y_1 = \cdots = y_m$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$ and $K_t = t^{-mn}K(\cdot/t)$. Then, the multilinear operator $\mathcal{T}$ and multilinear square function T are defined by

$$\mathcal{T}\vec{f}(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} K(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m) f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) dy_1 \cdots dy_m \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$T(\vec{f})(x) = \bigg(\int_0^\infty \Big| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} K_t(x, y_1, \ldots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(y_j) dy_1 \ldots dy_m \Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\vec{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $x \notin \bigcap_{j=1}^m \mathtt{supp} f_j$.

Definition 1.2 (**Bui and Duong's condition**, [2]). Let $S_j(Q) = 2^j Q \setminus 2^{j-1}Q$ if $j \geq 1$, and $S_0(Q) = Q$. Then, assume that the following two conditions hold

(h1) For all $1 \leq p_0 \leq q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m < \infty$ and $0 < q < \infty$ with $1/q_1 + \cdots + 1/q_m = 1/q$, $\mathcal{T}$ maps $L^{q_1} \times \cdots \times L^{q_m}$ into $L^{q,\infty}$.

(h2) There exists $\delta > n/p_0$ so that for the conjugate exponent p_0' of p_0, one has

$$\bigg(\int_{S_{j_m}(Q)} \cdots \int_{S_{j_1}(Q)} \big| K(z, \vec{y}) - K(x, \vec{y}) \big|^{p_0'} d\vec{y} \bigg)^{1/p_0'} \leq C \frac{|x - z|^{m(\delta - n/p_0)}}{|Q|^{m\delta/n}} 2^{-m\delta j_0}$$

for all ball Q, all $x, z \in 1/2Q$ and $(j_1, \ldots, j_m) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$, where $j_0 = \max_{k=1,\ldots,m}\{j_k\}$.

Definition 1.3 (**Xue and Yan's condition**, [32]).
For any $t \in (0, \infty)$, we assume that $K_t(x, y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ satisfies the following conditions: there is a positive constant $A > 0$, such that

$$\bigg(\int_0^\infty |K_t(z, y_1, \cdots, y_m) - K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{A|z - x|^\gamma}{(\sum_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|)^{mn+\gamma}}, \tag{1.6}$$

whenever $|z - x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|$; and

$$\bigg(\int_0^\infty |K_t(x, \vec{y}) - K_t(x, y_1, \ldots, y_j', \ldots, y_m)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{A|y_j - y_j'|^\gamma}{(\sum_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|)^{mn+\gamma}}, \tag{1.7}$$

whenever $|y_j - y_j'| \le \frac{1}{2}\max_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|$;

$$\left(\int_0^\infty |K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{A}{(\sum_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|)^{mn}}. \tag{1.8}$$

In 2013, Bui and Duong [2] studied the boundedness of $\mathcal{T}$ on product of weighted Lebesgue spaces with the kernel satisfies the more weaker regularity conditions (h1) and (h2). It should be pointed out that, under the assumptions (h1) and (h2), the multilinear operator $\mathcal{T}$ defined in (1.4) may not fall under the scope of the theorem of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators. In 2015, Xue and Yan [32] established the multiple-weighted norm inequalities for multilinear square function T with kernel K_t satisfies the conditions in Definition 1.3.

Motivated by the above two works, we introduce the following new condition to study the boundedness of multilinear square function and the associated commutators.

Definition 1.4 (**New condition**). Let $1 \le p_0 < \infty$. Let $S_j(Q) = 2^j Q \setminus 2^{j-1}Q$ if $j \ge 1$, and $S_0(Q) = Q$. Then, assume that

(H1) For all $p_0 \le q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m < \infty$ and $0 < q < \infty$ with $1/q_1 + \cdots + 1/q_m = 1/q$, T maps $L^{q_1} \times \cdots \times L^{q_m}$ into $L^{q,\infty}$.

(H2) There exists $\delta > n/p_0$ so that for the conjugate exponent p_0' of p_0, one has

$$\left(\int_{S_{j_m}(Q)} \cdots \int_{S_{j_1}(Q)} \left(\int_0^\infty \left|K_t(z, \vec{y}) - K_t(x, \vec{y})\right|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{p_0'/2} d\vec{y}\right)^{1/p_0'} \le C \frac{|x - z|^{m(\delta - n/p_0)}}{|Q|^{m\delta/n}} 2^{-m\delta j_0}$$

for all balls Q, all $x, z \in 1/2Q$ and $(j_1, \ldots, j_m) \ne (0, \ldots, 0)$, where $j_0 = \max_{k=1,\ldots,m}\{j_k\}$.

(H3) There exists some positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{S_{j_m}(Q)} \cdots \int_{S_{j_1}(Q)} \left(\int_0^\infty |K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{p_0'}{2}} d\vec{y}\right)^{1/p_0} \le C \frac{2^{-mnj_0/p_0}}{|Q|^{m/p_0}}$$

for all balls Q with center at x and $(j_1, \ldots, j_m) \ne (0, \ldots, 0)$, where $j_0 = \max_{k=1,\ldots,m}\{j_k\}$.

Definition 1.5 (**Commutators of multilinear square operator**). The commutators of multilinear square operator T with BMO functions $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m)$ are defined by

$$T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_0^\infty \left|\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} (b_i(x) - b_i(y_i)) K_t(x, \vec{y}) \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(y_j) dy_1 \ldots dy_m\right|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.9}$$

for any $\vec{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $x \notin \bigcap_{j=1}^m \mathtt{supp} f_j$.

We obtain the following weighted estimates.

Theorem 1.3. *Let T be the multilinear square function with a kernel satisfying conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) for some $1 \le p_0 < \infty$. Then, for any $p_0 \le p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$ and $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{P}/p_0}$, the following weighted estimates hold.*

(1) *If there is no $p_i = p_0$, then $\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}$.*

(2) *If there is a $p_i = p_0$, then $\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}$.*

As for the commutators of T, we obtain the following weighted estimates.

Theorem 1.4. *Let T be the multilinear square function with a kernel satisfying conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) for some $1 \le p_0 < \infty$. Let $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. Then, for any $p_0 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$ and $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{P}/p_0}$, we have*

$$||T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}||_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})} \le C||\vec{b}||_{BMO} \prod_{i=1}^m ||f_i||_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)},$$

where $||\vec{b}||_{BMO} = \max_j ||b_j||_{BMO}$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let T be the multilinear square function with a kernel satisfying conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) for some $1 \le p_0 < \infty$. Let $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. Let $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{1}}$ and $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. Then, there exists a constant C (depending on $\vec{b}$) such that*

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}\big(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}(x)| > t^m\}\big) \le C \prod_{j=1}^m \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\Big(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{t}\Big)\omega_j(x)\bigg)^{1/m},$$

where $\Phi(t) = t^{p_0}(1 + \log^+ t)^{p_0}$.

We organize this paper as follows: Section 2 contains one example concerning with the new assumption on $\mathfrak{T}_m$. Section 3 will be devoted to establish two key propositions related to multilinear square Fourier multiplier operator, which can be used to prove Theorem 1.1-1.2. In section 4, we will give the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. Section 5 will be devoted to give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Throughout this paper, the notation $A \lesssim B$ stands for $A \le CB$ for some positive constant C independent of A and B.

2. An example

In this section, an example will be given to show that there are some multilinear square Fourier multiplier operators which are bounded from $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, the assumption that $\mathfrak{T}_m$ is bounded from $L^{q_1} \times L^{q_2}$ into $L^{q,\infty}$ in Theorem 1.1- 1.2 is reasonable.

Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_m(\vec{f})(x) = \int_0^\infty \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^4} e^{2\pi i x\cdot(\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3+\xi_4)} m(t\xi_1, t\xi_2) m(t\xi_3, t\xi_4) \prod_{i=1}^4 \hat{f}_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i \, \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Example 2.1. Suppose that $m(0,0)=0$ and there exists some $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha m(\xi_1,\xi_2)| \le (1+|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{-s-\varepsilon}, \qquad \text{for all } |\alpha|\le 2n+1. \tag{2.1}$$

Then, there exists a constant δ, with $0<\delta\le 1$, such that

(i) $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_m$ is bounded from $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{q_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{q_4}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2-\delta<q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4<\infty$ with $1/q=1/q_1+1/q_2+1/q_3+1/q_4$.

(ii) $\mathfrak{T}_m$ is bounded from $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2-\delta<q_1,q_2<\infty$ with $1/q=1/q_1+1/q_2$.

Proof. (i) Let $\tilde{m}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)=\int_0^\infty m(t\xi_1,t\xi_2)m(t\xi_3,t\xi_4)\frac{dt}{t}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_m$ can be written as a Fourier multiplier operator in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_m(\vec{f})(x)\\ &=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^4} e^{2\pi i x\cdot(\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3+\xi_4)}\tilde{m}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)\hat{f}_1(\xi_1)\hat{f}_2(\xi_2)\hat{f}_3(\xi_3)\hat{f}_4(\xi_4)d\xi_1d\xi_2d\xi_3d\xi_4,\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will show that $\tilde{m}$ is a multiplier by considering two cases.
Case (a): $1\le|\alpha|\le 2n+1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial^\alpha\tilde{m}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)| &= \Big|\int_0^\infty |\partial^\alpha\big(m(t\xi_1,t\xi_2)m(t\xi_3,t\xi_4)\big)\frac{dt}{t}\Big|\\ &\le \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{|\alpha|}}{(1+|t\xi_1|+|t\xi_2|)^{s+\varepsilon}(1+|t\xi_3|+|t\xi_4|)^{s+\varepsilon}}\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{|\alpha|}}{(1+t(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|+|\xi_3|+|\xi_4|))^{s+\varepsilon}}\frac{dt}{t}\\ &= \frac{1}{(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|+|\xi_3|+|\xi_4|)^{|\alpha|}}\int_0^\infty \frac{s^{|\alpha|}}{(1+s)^{s+\varepsilon}}\frac{ds}{s}.\end{aligned}$$

Case (b): $|\alpha|=0$.

By using the mean-value theorem and the assumption $m(0,0)=0$, we may obtain that $|m(\xi_1,\xi_2)|\le|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|$. Thus, together with the boundedness of m, it yields that $|m(\xi_1,\xi_2)|\le(|\xi|+|\xi_2|)^{1/2}$ for $\xi_1,\xi_2\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{m}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4)| &= \Big|\int_0^\infty \big(m(t\xi_1,t\xi_2)m(t\xi_3,t\xi_4)\big)\frac{dt}{t}\Big|\\ &\le \int_0^\infty \frac{(|t\xi_1|+|t\xi_2|)^{1/4}}{(1+|t\xi_1|+|t\xi_2|)^{1/2}}\frac{(|t\xi_3|+|t\xi_4|)^{1/4}}{(1+|t\xi_3|+|t\xi_4|)^{1/2}}\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le \int_0^\infty \frac{(t(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)(t(|\xi_3|+|\xi_4|))^{1/4}}{(1+t(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|+|\xi_3|+|\xi_4|))^{1/2}}\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{1/2}}{(1+s)^{1/2}}\frac{ds}{s}<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $2n+1>4n/2$, then by Theorem 1 in [21], one obtains that there exists $0<\delta\le 1$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_m$ is bounded from $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{q_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{q_4}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2-\delta<q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4$ with $1/q=1/q_1+1/q_2+1/q_3+1/q_4$.

(ii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{T}_m(\vec{f})(x)^2 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^4} e^{2\pi i x\cdot(\xi_1+\xi_2-\xi_3-\xi_4)} m(t\xi_1,t\xi_2)\overline{m(t\xi_3,t\xi_4)}\hat{f}_1(\xi_1)\hat{f}_2(\xi_2)\\ &\quad\times \overline{\hat{f}_1(\xi_3)\hat{f}_2(\xi_4)}d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\xi_4 \frac{dt}{t}\\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^4} e^{2\pi i x\cdot(\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3+\xi_4)} m(t\xi_1,t\xi_2)\overline{m(-t\xi_3,-t\xi_4)}\\ &\quad\times \hat{f}_1(\xi_1)\hat{f}_2(\xi_2)\overline{\hat{f}_1(-\xi_3)\hat{f}_2(-\xi_4)}d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\xi_4 \frac{dt}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, as a consequence of (i), we obtain that $\mathfrak{T}_m$ is bounded from $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2-\delta < q_1, q_2 < \infty$ with $1/q = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2$. □

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

This section will be devoted to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by showing that the associated kernel of $\mathfrak{T}_m$ satisfies the conditions (H2) and (H3) in Definition 1.4. The following two propositions provide a foundation for our analysis.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $n+1 \le s \le 2n$. Suppose $m \in L^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n)^2)$ satisfies*

$$|\partial^\alpha m(\xi_1,\xi_2)| \lesssim \frac{(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{-|\alpha|+\varepsilon_1}}{(1+|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}}, \tag{3.1}$$

for some $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $|\alpha| \le s$. Then, for any $2n/s < p \le 2$, there exist $C>0$ and $\delta > n/p$, such that

(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\int_{S_j(Q)}\int_{S_k(Q)}\Big(\int_0^\infty \Big|\check{m}\Big(\frac{x-y_1}{t},\frac{x-y_2}{t}\Big) - \check{m}\Big(\frac{\bar{x}-y_1}{t},\frac{\bar{x}-y_2}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dy_1dy_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\ &\le C\frac{|x-\bar{x}|^{2(\delta-n/p)}}{|Q|^{2\delta/n}}2^{-2\delta\max(j,k)}\end{aligned}$$

for all balls Q, all $x,\bar{x}\in 1/2Q$ and $(j,k)\neq(0,0)$.

Proof. Denote the left-side of (3.2) by $A_{j,k}(m,Q)(x,\bar{x})$, and let $Q = B(x_0,R)$. Let $u = ax$ $(a>0)$ and $s = at$, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}A_{j,k}(m,Q)(x,\bar{x}) &= a^{-2n/p'}\Big(\int_{S_j(Q^a)}\int_{S_k(Q^a)}\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\check{m}\Big(\frac{x^a-u_1}{at},\frac{x^a-u_2}{at}\Big)\\ &\qquad-\check{m}\Big(\frac{\bar{x}^a-u_1}{at},\frac{\bar{x}^a-u_2}{at}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}du_1du_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\ &= a^{2n/p}\Big(\int_{S_j(Q^a)}\int_{S_k(Q^a)}\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\check{m}\Big(\frac{x^a-u_1}{s},\frac{x^a-u_2}{s}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

$$- \check{m}\Big(\frac{\bar{x}^a - u_1}{s}, \frac{\bar{x}^a - u_2}{s}\Big)\Big|^2 \frac{ds}{s^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}} du_1 du_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$
$$= a^{2n/p} A_{j,k}(m, Q^a)(x^a, \bar{x}^a),$$

where $Q^a = B(ax_0, aR)$, $x^a = ax$ and $\bar{x}^a = a\bar{x}$. Therefore, taking $a = 1/(2^{\max(j,k)}R)$, the desired estimate (3.2) follows from the following fact:

$$A_{j,k}(m, Q^a)(x^a, \bar{x}^a) \lesssim \frac{|x^a - \bar{x}^a|^{2(\delta - n/p)}}{|Q^a|^{2\delta/n}} 2^{-2\delta \max(j,k)} = |x^a - \bar{x}^a|^{2(\delta - n/p)}$$

Thus, we only need to show (3.2) in the case $R = 1/2^{\max(j,k)}$. In addition, we may assume $|h| = |x - \bar{x}| < 1/2$ and $k \geq j$ (hence $k \geq 1$). Hence, for $Q = B(x_0, 2^{-k})$ and $\delta > n/p$, we need to show that

$$A_{j,k}(m, Q)(x, \bar{x}) \lesssim |x - \bar{x}|^{2(\delta - n/p)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with $\operatorname{supp}\Psi \in \{(\xi, \eta) : 1/2 \leq |\xi| + |\eta| \leq 2\}$ and

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Psi(2^{-j}\xi, 2^{-j}\eta) = 1, \qquad \text{for all } (\xi, \eta) \in (\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Now, we can write

$$m(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} m_j(\xi, \eta) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Psi(2^{-j}\xi, 2^{-j}\eta) m(\xi, \eta)$$

and hence $\operatorname{supp} m_j \subseteq \{(\xi, \eta) : 2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| + |\eta| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$.

By changing variables, to prove (3.3), it is sufficient to show that for $Q = B(x_0, 2^{-k})$, the following inequality holds:

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar{x}})} \int_{S_k(Q_{\bar{x}})} \Big(\int_0^\infty \Big|\check{m}\Big(\frac{y+h}{t}, \frac{z+h}{t}\Big) - \check{m}\Big(\frac{y}{t}, \frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}} dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C|h|^{2(\delta - n/p)},$$

where $h = x - \bar{x}$ and $Q_{\bar{x}} = Q - \bar{x}$. We prove this in the following three cases.

(a) The case $2n/p < s < 2n/p + 1$. First, we note that (3.1) remains valid for any smaller positive number than ε_1. Thus, one may take ε_1 sufficiently close to $s - 2n/p$ so that $0 < \varepsilon_1 < s - 2n/p$.

For any interval I in $\mathbb{R}_+$, we introduce the notion A_ℓ and $A_\ell(I)$ as follows.

$$A_\ell := \Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar{x}})} \int_{S_k(Q_{\bar{x}})} \Big(\int_0^\infty \Big|\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y+h}{t}, \frac{z+h}{t}\Big) - \check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t}, \frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}} dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}};$$

$$A_\ell(I) := \Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar{x}})} \int_{S_k(Q_{\bar{x}})} \Big(\int_I \Big|\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y+h}{t}, \frac{z+h}{t}\Big) - \check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t}, \frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}} dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Since $Q_{\bar{x}} = B(x_0 - \bar{x}, 1/2^k)$, we have $2^{-2} \leq |y + h| \leq 2$ and $|z + h| \leq 2^{j-k+1}$ for all $y \in S_k(Q_{\bar{x}})$ and $z \in S_j(Q_{\bar{x}})$. Therefore, it yields that

$$A_\ell(I) \lesssim \Big(\int_{|z| \leq 2^{j-k+1}} \int_{2^{-2} \leq |y| \leq 2} \Big(\int_I \Big|\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t}, \frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}} dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Note that $|y|\sim 1$ in the above integration domain, by the Minkowski inequality and the Haussdorf-Young inequality, for $|\alpha|=s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\ell(I) &\lesssim \Big(\int_{|z|\le 2^{j-k+1}}\int_{2^{-2}\le|y|\le 2}\Big(\int_I |y^\alpha|\Big|\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\
&\le \Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{|z|\le 2^{j-k+1}}\int_{2^{-2}\le|y|\le 2}\Big|y^\alpha\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^{p'}dydz\Big)^{\frac{2}{p'}}\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&= \Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{|tz|\le 2^{j-k+1}}\int_{2^{-2}\le|ty|\le 2}|y^\alpha\check{m}_\ell(y,z)|^{p'}dydz\Big)^{\frac{2}{p'}}t^{2|\alpha|+4n/p'}\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\le \Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_\xi^\alpha m_\ell(\xi,\eta)|^p d\xi d\eta\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}t^{2|\alpha|-4n/p-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\lesssim \Big(\int_I t^{2|\alpha|-4n/p-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_\xi^\alpha m_\ell(\xi,\eta)|^p d\xi d\eta\Big)^{\frac1p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$A_\ell(I)\lesssim \frac{(2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1-|\alpha|+2n/p}}{(1+2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}}\Big(\int_I t^{2|\alpha|-4n/p-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}. \tag{3.4}$$

Now, setting $\varphi_\ell(\xi,\eta)=m_\ell(\xi,\eta)(e^{2\pi i t^{-1}h\cdot(\xi+\eta)}-1)$, we have

$$\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y+h}{t},\frac{z+h}{t}\Big)-\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)=\check{\varphi}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big).$$

Proceeding the same argument as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\ell(I) &\lesssim \Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar{x}})}\int_{S_k(Q_{\bar{x}})}\Big(\int_I\Big|y^\alpha\Big(\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y+h}{t},\frac{z+h}{t}\Big)-\check{m}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\
&= \Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar{x}})}\int_{S_k(Q_{\bar{x}})}\Big|y^\alpha\check{\varphi}_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^{p'}dydz\Big)^{\frac{2}{p'}}\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&= \Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{S_j(t^{-1}Q_{\bar{x}})}\int_{S_k(t^{-1}Q_{\bar{x}})}|y^\alpha\check{\varphi}_\ell(y,z)|^{p'}dydz\Big)^{\frac{2}{p'}}t^{2|\alpha|+4n/p'}\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\le \Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_\xi^\alpha\varphi_\ell(\xi,\eta)|^p d\xi d\eta\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}t^{2|\alpha|-4n/p-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&= \Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_\xi^\alpha[m_\ell(\xi,\eta)(e^{-2\pi i t^{-1}h\cdot(\xi+\eta)}-1)]|^p d\xi d\eta\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}t^{2|\alpha|-4n/p-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the following fact

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha[m_\ell(\xi,\eta)(e^{-2\pi i t^{-1}h\cdot(\xi+\eta)}-1)]|\lesssim\frac{2^\ell|h|}{t}\frac{(2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1-|\alpha|}}{(1+2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{|\alpha|}\Big(\frac{|h|}{t}\Big)^\beta\frac{(2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1-|\alpha|+\beta}}{(1+2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}},$$

it yields that

(3.5)
$$A_\ell(I) \lesssim \Big(\int_I \Big(\frac{2^\ell|h|}{t}\frac{(2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1-|\alpha|}}{(1+2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{|\alpha|}\Big(\frac{|h|}{t}\Big)^\beta \frac{(2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1-|\alpha|+\beta}}{(1+2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}}\Big)^2 2^{4n\ell/p} t^{2|\alpha|-4n/p-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{\max(\beta,1)}\frac{2^{\ell(-|\alpha|+2n/p+\max(\beta,1)+\varepsilon_1)}}{(1+2^\ell)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}}\Big(\int_I t^{2(|\alpha|-2n/p-\max(\beta,1))-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}.$$

Now, we fix sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\varepsilon(s-2n/p) < \min\{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\}$. Then, if $2^\ell|h| \ge 1$, noting $2n/p < s < 2n/p+1$ and using (3.4) for $I = (0,(2^\ell|h|)^{1+\varepsilon}]$, we have
$$A_\ell((0,(2^\ell|h|)^{1+\varepsilon}]) \lesssim 2^{-\ell(s+\varepsilon_2-2n/p)}(2^\ell|h|)^{(1+\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)} = |h|^{(1+\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{\ell(\varepsilon(s-2n/p)-\varepsilon_2)}.$$
By (3.5) for $I = [(2^\ell|h|)^{1+\varepsilon},\infty)$, we have
$$A_\ell([(2^\ell|h|)^{1+\varepsilon},\infty)) \lesssim \sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{\max(\beta,1)}2^{\ell(-|\alpha|+2n/p+\max(\beta,1))}(2^\ell|h|)^{(1+\varepsilon)(s-2n/p-\max(\beta,1))}$$
$$= \sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{-\varepsilon\max(\beta,1)+(1+\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{\ell\varepsilon((s-2n/p)-\max(\beta,1))}.$$

Thus, noting $\varepsilon(s-2n/p)-\varepsilon_2 < 0$ and $|h| < 1$, we obtain

(3.6)
$$\sum_{2^\ell|h|\ge1}A_\ell \lesssim \sum_{2^\ell|h|\ge1}|h|^{(1+\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{\ell(\varepsilon(s-2n/p)-\varepsilon_2)}$$
$$+\sum_{2^\ell|h|\ge1}\sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{-\varepsilon\max(\beta,1)+(1+\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{\ell\varepsilon((s-2n/p)-\max(\beta,1))}$$
$$\le |h|^{s-2n/p+\varepsilon_2} + \sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{s-2n/p} \lesssim |h|^{s-2n/p}.$$

In the case $2^\ell|h| < 1$, using (3.4) for $I = (0,(2^\ell|h|)^{1-\varepsilon}]$, we have
$$A_\ell((0,(2^\ell|h|)^{1-\varepsilon}]) \lesssim 2^{\ell(-s+2n/p+\varepsilon_1)}(2^\ell|h|)^{(1-\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)} = |h|^{(1-\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{\ell(-\varepsilon(s-2n/p)+\varepsilon_1)}.$$
Further more, by using (3.5) for $I = [(2^\ell|h|)^{1-\varepsilon},\infty)$, we have
$$A_\ell([(2^\ell|h|)^{1-\varepsilon},\infty)) \lesssim \sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{\max(\beta,1)}2^{\ell(-s+2n/p+\max(\beta,1))}(2^\ell|h|)^{(1-\varepsilon)(s-2n/p-\max(\beta,1))}$$
$$= \sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{\varepsilon\max(\beta,1)+(1-\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{-\varepsilon\ell(s-2n/p-\max(\beta,1))}.$$

By the fact that $\varepsilon(s-2n/p)-\varepsilon_1 < 0$ and $|h| < 1$, we obtain

(3.7)
$$\sum_{2^\ell|h|<1}A_\ell \lesssim \sum_{2^\ell|h|<1}|h|^{(1-\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{\ell(-\varepsilon(s-2n/p)+\varepsilon_1)}$$

$$+\sum_{2^\ell|h|<1}\sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{\varepsilon\max(\beta,1)+(1-\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{-\varepsilon\ell(s-2n/p-\max(\beta,1))}$$

$$\le |h|^{s-2n/p-\varepsilon_1}+\sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|}|h|^{s-2n/p}\lesssim |h|^{s-2n/p-\varepsilon_1}+|h|^{s-2n/p}.$$

Noting that $0<\varepsilon_1<s-2n/p$ and taking $\delta=(s-\varepsilon_1)/2$, by (3.6) and (3.7), it holds that

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar x})}\int_{S_k(Q_{\bar x})}\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\check m\Big(\frac{y+h}{t},\frac{z+h}{t}\Big)-\check m\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$
$$\le\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}A_\ell\lesssim|h|^{2(\delta-n/p)},$$

This leads to the conclusion of Proposition 3.1 in the case $2n/p<s<2n/p+1$.

(b) The case $2n/p<s=2n/p+1$. First, we Choose $1<p_0<p$ such that $2n/p_0<s$. Then p_0 satisfies $2n/p_0<s=2n/p+1<2n/p_0+1$. Hence, for all balls Q, all $x,\bar x\in\frac12 Q$ and $(j,k)\ne(0,0)$, by the step (a), we have

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar x})}\int_{S_k(Q_{\bar x})}\Big(\int_I\Big|\check m\Big(\frac{y+h}{t},\frac{z+h}{t}\Big)-\check m\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p_0'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0'}}$$
$$\le C\frac{|h|^{2\delta-2n/p_0}}{|Q|^{2\delta/n}}2^{-2\delta\max(j,k)}.$$

By the Hölder inequality, it yields that

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar x})}\int_{S_k(Q_{\bar x})}\Big(\int_I\Big|\check m\Big(\frac{y+h}{t},\frac{z+h}{t}\Big)-\check m\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$
$$\le(2^{n(j+k)}|Q|^2)^{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac1p}\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_{\bar x})}\int_{S_k(Q_{\bar x})}\Big(\int_I\Big|\check m\Big(\frac{y+h}{t},\frac{z+h}{t}\Big)-\check m\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2$$
$$\times\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p_0'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0'}}$$
$$\lesssim(2^{2n\max(j,k)}|Q|^2)^{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac1p}\frac{|h|^{2\delta-2n/p_0}}{|Q|^{\frac{2\delta}{n}}}\frac{1}{2^{2\delta\max(j,k)}}$$
$$=\frac{|h|^{(2\delta-2n/p_0+2n/p)-2n/p}}{|Q|^{\frac{(2\delta-2n/p_0+2n/p)}{n}}}2^{-(2\delta-2n/p_0+2n/p)\max(j,k)}.$$

Therefore, taking $\delta-n/p_0+n/p>n/p$ as δ newly, we obtain the desired estimate.

(c) The case $2n/p+1<s\le 2n$. In this case there is an integer l such that $2n/p+l<s\le 2n/p+1+l$. Then it follows that $2n/p<s-l\le 2n/p+1$. Thus, regarding $s-l$ as s, we may deduce this case to the previous case (a) or case (b). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n+1 \le s \le 2n$. Let $m \in L^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n)^2)$ and satisfy*

$$|\partial^\alpha m(\xi_1,\xi_2)| \lesssim (|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{-|\alpha|} \text{ for } |\alpha| \le s, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$|m(\xi_1,\xi_2)| \lesssim \frac{(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{\varepsilon_1}}{(1+|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}}, \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2>0. \tag{3.9}$$

Then, for $2n/s < p \le 2$, there exists a constant $C>0$, such that the following inequality holds for all balls Q with center at x and $(j,k)\neq(0,0)$.

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(Q)}\int_{S_k(Q)}\Big(\int_0^\infty \Big|\check m\Big(\frac{x-y_1}{t},\frac{x-y_2}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dy_1dy_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \le C\frac{1}{|Q|^{2/p}}2^{-2n\max(j,k)/p}. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Let $Q=B(x,R)$, $u=ax$ $(a>0)$ and $s=at$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{j,k}(m,Q)(x) &:= \Big(\int_{S_j(Q)}\int_{S_k(Q)}\Big(\int_0^\infty \Big|\check m\Big(\frac{x-y_1}{t},\frac{x-y_2}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dy_1dy_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\
&= a^{2n/p}\Big(\int_{S_j(Q^a)}\int_{S_k(Q^a)}\Big(\int_0^\infty \Big|\check m\Big(\frac{x^a-u_1}{t},\frac{x^a-u_2}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{ds}{s^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}du_1du_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\
&= a^{2n/p}B_{j,k}(m,Q^a)(x^a),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q^a=B(ax,aR)$, $x^a=ax$. So, taking $a=1/(2^{\max(j,k)}R)$, the estimate $B_{j,k}(m,Q^a)(x^a)\lesssim 1$ implies the desired estimate. Thus, we only need to show (3.10) in the case $R=1/2^{\max(j,k)}$. We may also assume $k\ge j$ and hence $k\ge 1$. Then, for $Q=B(x,2^{-k})$, it sufficient to show that

$$B_{j,k}(m,Q)(x)\lesssim 1.$$

By changing variables, it is enough to show that

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_x)}\int_{S_k(Q_x)}\Big(\int_0^\infty \Big|\check m\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \le C\frac{1}{|Q|^{2/p}}2^{-2n\max(j,k)/p}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $Q_x=Q-x$.

For every interval I in $\mathbb{R}_+$, let

$$B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,I)(x) := \Big(\int_{S_j(Q_x)}\int_{S_k(Q_x)}\Big(\int_I \Big|\check m_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

The Minkowski inequality, together with Haussdorf-Young inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,I)(x)\\
&\lesssim (2^kR)^{-|\alpha|}\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_x)}\int_{S_k(Q_x)}\Big(\int_I\Big|y^\alpha\check m_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{p'}{2}}dydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\
&\lesssim (2^kR)^{-|\alpha|}\Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{S_j(Q_x)}\int_{S_k(Q_x)}\Big|y^\alpha\check m_\ell\Big(\frac{y}{t},\frac{z}{t}\Big)\Big|^{p'}dydz\Big)^{\frac{2}{p'}}\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= C(2^kR)^{-|\alpha|}\Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{S_j(t^{-1}Q_x)}\int_{S_k(t^{-1}Q_x)}|y^\alpha\check{m}_\ell(y,z)|^{p'}dydz\Big)^{\frac{2}{p'}}t^{2|\alpha|+4n/p'}\frac{dt}{t^{4n+1}}\Big)^{\frac12}$$
$$\lesssim (2^kR)^{-|\alpha|}\Big(\int_I\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_\xi^\alpha m_\ell(\xi,\eta)|^p d\xi d\eta\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}t^{2|\alpha|-4n/p-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}$$
$$\lesssim (2^kR)^{-|\alpha|}\Big(\int_I t^{2|\alpha|-4n/p-1}dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_\xi^\alpha m_\ell(\xi,\eta)|^p d\xi d\eta\Big)^{\frac1p}.$$

Next, we consider two cases according to the value of ℓ.

Case (a). $\ell<0$. In this case, taking $|\alpha|=0$ and $I=[2^{\ell(1+\varepsilon)},\infty)$, the estimate in (3.9) implies that

$$B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,[2^{\ell(1+\varepsilon)},\infty))\lesssim 2^{\ell(1+\varepsilon)(-2n/p)}2^{\ell\varepsilon_1}2^{\ell(2n/p)}=2^{\ell(\varepsilon_1-2\varepsilon n/p)}.$$

In virtue of $2^kR=1$, taking $|\alpha|=s$ and $I=[0,2^{\ell(1+\varepsilon)}]$, the estimate in (3.8) implies that

$$B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,[0,2^{\ell(1+\varepsilon)}])\lesssim 2^{\ell(1+\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{-\ell(s-2n/p)}=2^{\ell\varepsilon(s-2n/p)}.$$

Hence,

$$B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,[0,\infty))\lesssim 2^{\ell(\varepsilon_1-2\varepsilon n/p)}+2^{\ell\varepsilon(s-2n/p)}.$$

Case (b). $\ell\ge 0$. By repeating the same arguments as in case (a), we get

$$B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,[2^{\ell(1-\varepsilon)},\infty))\lesssim 2^{\ell(1-\varepsilon)(-2n/p)}2^{-\ell\varepsilon_2}2^{\ell(2n/p)}=2^{\ell(2\varepsilon n/p-\varepsilon_2)}$$

and

$$B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,[0,2^{\ell(1+\varepsilon)}])\lesssim 2^{\ell(1-\varepsilon)(s-2n/p)}2^{-\ell(s-2n/p)}=2^{-\ell\varepsilon(s-2n/p)}.$$

Therefore,

$$B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,[0,\infty))\lesssim 2^{\ell(2\varepsilon n/p-\varepsilon_2)}+2^{-\ell\varepsilon(s-2n/p)}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon>0$ so that $2n\varepsilon/p<\min(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2)$, we obtain from case (a) and case (b)

$$\begin{aligned}B_{j,k}(m,Q)(x)&\le\sum_{\ell<0}B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,[0,\infty))+\sum_{\ell\ge0}B_{j,k}(m_\ell,Q,[0,\infty))\\&\lesssim\sum_{\ell<0}[2^{\ell(\varepsilon_1-2\varepsilon n/p)}+2^{\ell\varepsilon(s-2n/p)}]+\sum_{\ell\ge0}[2^{\ell(2\varepsilon n/p-\varepsilon_2)}+2^{-\ell\varepsilon(s-2n/p)}]\\&\lesssim 1.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. First, we assume that Theorems 1.3–1.5 are true, whose proofs will be postponed to the next sections.
(a) The case $p_0>2n/s$. By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, it is easy to see that the associated kernel of $\mathfrak{T}_m$ satisfies the conditions (H2) and (H3). Since we have supposed (H1) from the beginning, applying Theorems 1.3–1.5, we obtain the desired conclusions in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.
(b) The case $p_0=2n/s$. By the property of A_p weights, there exists a real number $\tilde{p}_0$

satisfying $p_0 = 2n/s < \tilde{p}_0 < \min(p_1, p_2, 2)$ and $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}/\tilde{p}_0}$ (see [1] or [24]). Therefore, by step (a), we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

Let us recall the definition of $A_{\vec{P}}$ weights introduced by Lerner et al.[24].

Definition 4.1. Let $\vec{P} = (p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ and $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$ with $1 \le p_1, \cdots, p_m < \infty$. Given $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_m)$, set $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i^{p/p_i}$. We say that $\vec{\omega}$ satisfies the $A_{\vec{P}}$ condition if

$$\sup_Q \big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i^{\frac{p}{p_i}}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \prod_{i=1}^m \big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \omega_i^{1-p_i'}\big)^{\frac{1}{p_i'}} < \infty,$$

when $p_i = 1$, $\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \omega_i^{1-p_i'}\big)^{\frac{1}{p_i'}}$ is understood as $(\inf_Q \omega_i)^{-1}$.

The new maximal function $\mathcal{M}_p$ can be defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_p(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^m \big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f_j(y_j)|^p dy_j\big)^{1/p}.$$

When $p = 1$, we get $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}$, which was introduced in [24].

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $1 < p_0 \le p_1, \ldots, p_m < \infty$ and p so that $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$ and $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{P}/p_0}$, where $\vec{P}/p_0 = (p_1/p_0, \ldots, p_m/p_0)$, the following weighted estimates hold.*

(1) *If there is no $p_i = p_0$, then $\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}$.*

(2) *If there is a $p_i = p_0$, then $\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}$.*

Proof. The proof of (1) was given in [2]. The proof of (2) is similar to (1), we omit the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. *([10]) Let ω be an A_∞ weight. Then there exist constant C and $\rho > 0$ depending upon the A_∞ condition of ω such that, for all $\lambda, \varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\omega(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : Mf(y) > \lambda, M^\sharp f(y) \le \lambda\epsilon\}) \le C\varepsilon^\rho \omega(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : Mf(y) > \frac{1}{2}\lambda\}).$$

As consequences, we have the following estimates for $\delta > 0$.

(1) Let $\varphi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a doubling, that is, $\varphi(2a) \le C\varphi(a)$ for $a > 0$. Then, there exists a constant C depending upon the A_∞ condition of ω and doubling condition of φ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \varphi(\lambda)\omega(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_\delta f(y) > \lambda\}) \le C \sup_{\lambda>0} \varphi(\lambda)\omega(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_\delta^\sharp f(y) > \lambda\}) \tag{4.1}$$

for every function such that the left-hand side is finite.

(2) Let $0 < p < \infty$. There exists a positive constant C depending upon the A_∞ condition and p such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_\delta f(x))^p \omega(x) dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_\delta^\sharp f(x))^p \omega(x) dx \tag{4.2}$$

for every function such that the left-hand side is finite.

Lemma 4.3. *Let T be a multilinear square function with a kernel satisfying conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) for some $1 \le p_0 < \infty$. For any $0 < \delta < \min\{1, \frac{p_0}{m}\}$, there is a constant $C < \infty$ such that for any bounded and compactly supported $f_j, (j = 1, \dots, m)$.*

$$M_\delta^\sharp T(\vec{f})(x) \le C \mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(x).$$

Proof. Fix a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a ball Q containing x. For $0 < \delta < \min\{1, \frac{p_0}{m}\}$, we only need to show that there exists a constant c_Q such that

$$\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \big|T(\vec{f})(z) - c_Q\big|^\delta dz\Big)^{1/\delta} \le C \mathcal{M}_{p_0} \vec{f}(x).$$

For each $j = 1, \dots, m$, we decompose $f_j = f_j^0 + f_j^\infty$, where $f_j^0 = f_j \chi_{Q^*}$, Q^* is the ball with center at x and having eight times bigger radius than Q.

First, we claim that

$$\Big(\int_0^\infty \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}} K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j) d\vec{y} \Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2} < \infty, \qquad \text{for } \vec{\alpha} \ne \vec{0}.$$

In fact, set

$$c_{Q,\alpha} = \Big(\int_0^\infty \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}} K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j) d\vec{y} \Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2}.$$

By the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$c_{Q,\alpha} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}} \Big(\int_0^\infty \Big| K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m) \Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2} \prod_{j=1}^m |f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)| d\vec{y}.$$

To estimate $c_{Q,\alpha}$, we may assume $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_l = 0$ and $\alpha_{l+1} = \cdots = \alpha_m = \infty$. Since $\vec{f_j} \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists the smallest $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp} \vec{f} \subset 2^{j_0} Q^*$. Then, by using the Hölder inequality and condition (H3), one may obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{Q,\alpha} &\le \Big(\int_{(2^{j_0}Q^* \setminus Q^*)^{m-l} \times (S_0(Q^*))^l} \Big(\int_0^\infty \Big| K_t(x, \vec{y}) \Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{p_0'/2} d\vec{y}\Big)^{1/p_0'} \\ &\qquad \times \Big(\int_{(2^{j_0}Q^* \setminus Q^*)^{m-l} \times (S_0(Q^*))^l} \prod_{j=1}^m |f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)|^{p_0} d\vec{y}\Big)^{1/p_0} \\ &\le \sum_{k=1}^{j_0} \Big(\int_{(S_k(Q^*))^{m-l} \times (S_0(Q^*))^l} \Big(\int_0^\infty \Big| K_t(x, \vec{y}) \Big|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\frac{p_0'}{2}} d\vec{y}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0'}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}} \prod_{j=1}^m |f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)|^{p_0} d\vec{y}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\le C\sum_{k=1}^{j_0}\frac{2^{-nmk/p_0}}{|Q|^{m/p_0}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}\prod_{j=1}^{m}|f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)|^{p_0}d\vec{y}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}<\infty.$$

Let $c_{Q,t}=\sum_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\alpha}\neq\vec{0}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}K_t(x,y_1,\cdots,y_m)\prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)d\vec{y}$ and $c_Q=\big(\int_0^\infty|c_{Q,t}|^2\frac{dt}{t}\big)^{1/2}$, where $\vec{\alpha}=(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)$ with $\alpha_i=0$ or ∞. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|T(\vec{f})(z)-c_Q\big|^\delta dz\Big)^{1/\delta}\\
&\le C\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}K_t(z,\vec{y})\prod_{j=1}^m f_j^0(y_j)d\vec{y}\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\delta/2}dz\Big)^{1/\delta}\\
&\quad+C\sum_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\alpha}\neq\vec{0}}\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}\big(K_t(z,\vec{y})-K_t(x,\vec{y})\big)\prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)d\vec{y}\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\delta/2}dz\Big)^{1/\delta}\\
&=I_{\vec{0}}+C\sum_{\vec{\alpha}\neq\vec{0}}I_{\vec{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By condition (H1), T maps $L^{p_0}\times\cdots\times L^{p_0}$ into $L^{p_0/m,\infty}$. This together with the Kolmogorov inequality tells us that

$$I_{\vec{0}}\le C||T(f^0)||_{L^{p_0/m,\infty}(Q,\frac{dx}{|Q|})}\le C\prod_{j=1}^m\Big(\frac{1}{|Q^*|}\int_{Q^*}|f_j(z)|^{p_0}dz\Big)^{p_0}\le C\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(x).$$

To estimate $I_{\vec{\alpha}}$ for $\vec{\alpha}\neq\vec{0}$, we may assume $\alpha_1=\cdots=\alpha_l=\infty$ and $\alpha_{l+1}=\cdots=\alpha_m=0$. By condition (H2), it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}\Big(\int_0^\infty\big|K_t(z,\vec{y})-K_t(x,\vec{y})\big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2}\prod_{j=1}^m|f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)|d\vec{y}\\
&\le C\int_{((Q^*)^c)^l\times(Q^*)^{m-l}}\Big(\int_0^\infty\big|K_t(z,\vec{y})-K_t(x,\vec{y})\big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\frac12}\prod_{j=1}^l\big|f_j^\infty(y_j)\big|dy_j\prod_{j=l+1}^m\big|f_j^0(y_j)\big|dy_j\\
&\le C\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_l\ge1}\int_{(Q^*)^{m-l}}\int_{S_{j_l}(Q^*)}\cdots\int_{S_{j_1}(Q^*)}\Big(\int_0^\infty\big|K_t(z,\vec{y})-K_t(x,\vec{y})\big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\qquad\times\prod_{j=1}^l\big|f_j^\infty(y_j)\big|dy_j\prod_{j=l+1}^m\big|f_j^0(y_j)\big|dy_j\\
&\le C\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_l\ge1}\Big(\int_{(Q^*)^{m-l}}\int_{S_{j_l}(Q^*)}\cdots\int_{S_{j_1}(Q^*)}\Big(\int_0^\infty\big|K_t(z,\vec{y})-K_t(x,\vec{y})\big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{p_0'/2}d\vec{y}\Big)^{1/p_0'}\\
&\qquad\times\prod_{j=1}^l\Big(\int_{2^{j_k}Q^*}|f_j(y_j)|^{p_0}dy_j\Big)^{1/p_0}\prod_{j=l+1}^m\Big(\int_{Q^*}|f_j(y_j)|^{p_0}dy_j\Big)^{1/p_0}\\
&\le C\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_l\ge1}\frac{|x-z|^{m(\delta-n/p_0)}}{|Q^*|^{m\delta/n}}2^{-m\delta j_0}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{l} \Big(\int_{2^{j_k}Q^*} |f_j(y_j)|^{p_0} dy_j \Big)^{1/p_0} \prod_{j=l+1}^{m} \Big(\int_{Q^*} |f_j(y_j)|^{p_0} dy_j \Big)^{1/p_0}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j_0 \geq 1} \frac{|x-z|^{m(\delta - n/p_0)}}{|Q^*|^{m\delta/n}} m 2^{-m\delta j_0} 2^{j_0 mn/p_0} |Q^*|^{m/p_0} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Big(\frac{1}{|2^{j_0}Q^*|} \int_{2^{j_0}Q^*} |f_j(y_j)|^{p_0} dy_j \Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j_0 \geq 1} \frac{|x-z|^{m(\delta - n/p_0)}}{|Q^*|^{m(\delta/n - 1/p_0)}} m 2^{-mj_0(\delta - n/p_0)} \mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(x)$$

$$\leq C \mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(x).$$

Here, we use the condition $\delta > n/p_0$ and $x, z \in Q$, where $j_0 = \max\{j_1, \ldots, j_l\}$. Then, by the Minkowski inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\vec{\alpha}} &\leq C\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}} \Big(\int_0^\infty \big| K_t(z, \vec{y}) - K_t(x, \vec{y}) \big|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \Big)^{1/2} \prod_{j=1}^{m} |f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)| d\vec{y} \Big)^{\delta} dz \Big)^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq C \mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Lemma 4.3. □

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose K_t satisfies (H3) for some $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$. Suppose $f_i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and* $\operatorname{supp} f_i \subset B(0, R)$ *for any $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Then there is a constant $C < \infty$ such that for $|x| > 3R$, the following estimate holds uniformly.*

$$T(\vec{f})(x) \leq C \mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(x).$$

Proof. By the Minkowski inequality, the Hölder inequality, the support property of f_j and condition (H3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{f})(x) &\leq \int_{B(0,R)^m} \Big(\int_0^\infty |K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} |f_j(y_j)| d\vec{y} \\ &\leq \int_{(B(x, \frac{4}{3}|x|) \setminus (B(x, \frac{2}{3}|x|))^m} \Big(\int_0^\infty |K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} |f_j(y_j)| d\vec{y} \\ &\leq \Big(\int_{(B(x, \frac{4}{3}|x|) \setminus (B(x, \frac{2}{3}|x|))^m} \Big(\int_0^\infty |K_t(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \Big)^{\frac{p_0'}{2}} d\vec{y} \Big)^{1/p_0'} \\ &\qquad \times \Big(\int_{(B(x, \frac{4}{3}|x|) \setminus (B(x, \frac{2}{3}|x|))^m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} |f_j(y_j)|^{p_0} d\vec{y} \Big)^{1/p_0} \\ &\leq C \mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(x), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Lemma 4.4. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we will show that (1) in Theorem 1.3 is true. By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that $||\mathcal{M}_{p_0}\vec{f}||_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})}$ is finite. Without loss of generality, we futher assume that each $f_i > 0$, $f_i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nu_{\vec{\omega}}$ are bounded functions. Now,

we claim that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx<\infty$. In fact,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx=\int_{3B}(T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx+\int_{(3B)^c}(T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx.$$

By the Hölder inequality, it yields that

$$\int_{3B}(T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\le C\prod_{i=1}^m||f_i||_{L^{p_i}}<\infty.$$

On the one hand, by using Lemma 4.4, it holds that

$$\int_{(3B)^c}(T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\le\int_{(3B)^c}(\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx<\infty.$$

Now, we are in a position to prove $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\delta T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx<\infty$. Since $\omega\in A_\infty$, then there exists $q_0>1$, such that $\omega\in A_{q_0}$. We may take $\delta>0$, small enough and $p/\delta>q_0$ such that $\omega\in A_{p/\delta}$. Then, the boundedness of M yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\delta T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx<\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(T(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx<\infty.$$

Thus, the desired estimates follows by using Fefferman-Stein's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(T(\vec{f})\big)^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}&\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\delta\big(T(\vec{f})\big))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\delta^\sharp\big(T(\vec{f})\big))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}\\&\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f_i|^{p_i}\omega_i dx\Big)^{1/p_i}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 (2) can be treated similarly as that in Theorem 1.3 (1), with only a slight modifications. Thus, we omit the proof of it.

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

Next, we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.4. We prepare several lemmas.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose K_t satisfies (H3) for some $1\le p_0<\infty$. Suppose $f_i\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\operatorname{supp}f_i\subset B(0,R)$ for any $i=1,\cdots,m$. Then there is a constant $C<\infty$ such that for $|x|>3R$ and bounded function $b_j(x)$, $j=1,\cdots,m$, the following estimate holds uniformly.*

$$T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)\le C\|\vec{b}\|_\infty\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(x).$$

Proof. We can use the same arguments as in Lemma 4.4 to finish the proof. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let T be a multilinear square function with a kernel satisfying conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) for some $1\le p_0<\infty$. Then, for any $0<\delta<\varepsilon<\min\{1,\frac{p_0}{m}\}$ and $q_0>p_0$, there is a constant $C<\infty$ such that for any bounded and compactly supported $f_j(j=1,\dots,m)$, the following inequality holds*

$$M_\delta^\sharp\big(T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)(x)\le C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}\big(\mathcal{M}_{q_0}(\vec{f})(x)+M_\varepsilon\big(T(\vec{f})\big)(x)\big).$$

Proof. We may assume $\vec{b}=(b,0,\dots,0)$. Fix a point $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and a ball Q containing x. For $0<\delta<\varepsilon<\min\{1,\frac{p_0}{m}\}$, we need to show that there exists a constant c_Q such that

$$\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \big|T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(z)-c_Q\big|^\delta dz\Big)^{1/\delta}\le C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}\big(\mathcal{M}_{q_0}(\vec{f})(x)+M_\varepsilon\big(T(\vec{f})\big)(x)\big).$$

For any constant c_Q, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \big|T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(z)-c_Q\big|^\delta dz\Big)^{1/\delta}\\
&\le C\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |b(z)-b_{Q^*}|^\delta\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}K_t(z,\vec{y})\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(y_j)d\vec{y}\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{\delta/2}dz\Big)^{1/\delta}\\
&\quad+C\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\Big|\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}K_t(z,\vec{y})(b(y_1)-b_{Q^*})\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(y_j)d\vec{y}\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2}-c_Q\Big|^\delta dz\Big)^{1/\delta}\\
&:=I+II.
\end{aligned}$$

The Hölder inequality gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
I&\le C\Big(\frac{1}{|Q^*|}\int_{Q^*}|(b(z)-b_{Q^*})|^{p'\delta}dz\Big)^{1/p'\delta}\Big(\frac{1}{|Q^*|}\int_{Q^*}|T(\vec{f})(z)|^{p\delta}dz\Big)^{1/p\delta}\\
&\le C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}M_\varepsilon\big(T(\vec{f})\big)(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen $p>1$ so that $\delta p<\varepsilon<p_0/m$ and $\delta p'>1$.

Now for each j we decompose $f_j=f_j^0+f_j^\infty$, where $f_j^0=f_j\chi_{Q^*}, j=1,\dots,m$, and $Q^*=8Q$. Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(y_j)=\sum_{\vec{\alpha}} f_1^{\alpha_1}(y_1)\cdots f_m^{\alpha_m}(y_m),$$

where $\vec{\alpha}=(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)$ with $\alpha_i=0$ or ∞.

Now, we introduce the notion, $c_Q=\big(\int_0^\infty|c_{Q,t}|^2\frac{dt}{t}\big)^{1/2}$, where

$$c_{Q,t}=\sum_{\vec{\alpha}\neq\vec{0}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}(b(y_1)-b_{Q^*})K_t(x,y_1,\cdots,y_m)\prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)d\vec{y}.$$

Similarly as before, the finiteness of c_Q follows from the condition (H3). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
II&\le\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big(\int_0^\infty\big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}K_t(z,\vec{y})(b(y_1)-b_{Q^*})\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(y_j)d\vec{y}-c_{Q,t}\big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\big)^{\delta/2}dz\big)^{1/\delta}\\
&\le C\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big(\int_0^\infty\big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}K_t(z,\vec{y})(b(y_1)-b_{Q^*})\prod_{j=1}^m f_j^0(y_j)d\vec{y}\big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\big)^{\delta/2}dz\big)^{1/\delta}\\
&\quad+\sum_{\vec{\alpha}\neq\vec{0}}\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big(\int_0^\infty\big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}}\big(K_t(z,\vec{y})-K_t(x,\vec{y})\big)(b(y_1)-b_{Q^*})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{m} |f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)|d\vec{y}\big|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\big)^{\delta/2} dz\big)^{1/\delta} := II_{\vec{0}} + II_{\vec{\alpha}:\vec{\alpha}\neq\vec{0}}.$$

The condition (H1), together with the Kolmogorov inequality ($p_0 < q_0$) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} II_{\vec{0}} &\le C\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |T((b-b_{Q^*})f_1^0,\dots,f_m^0)(z)|^\delta dz\big)^{1/\delta} \\ &\le C||T((b-b_{Q^*})f_1^0,\dots,f_m^0)||_{L^{p_0/m,\infty}(Q,\frac{dx}{|Q|})} \\ &\le C\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |(b(z)-b_{Q^*})f_1^0(z)|^{p_0}dz\big)^{1/p_0} \prod_{j=2}^{\infty}\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f_j^0(z)|^{p_0}dz\big)^{1/p_0} \\ &\le C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}\mathcal{M}_{q_0}(\vec{f})(x). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.3 will lead to that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}} \big(\int_0^\infty \big|K_t(z,\vec{y}) - K_t(x,\vec{y})\big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\big)^{1/2} |(b(y_1)-b_{Q^*})| \prod_{j=1}^m |f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)|d\vec{y} \\ &\le C\sum_{j_0\ge 1} \frac{|x-z|^{m(\delta-n/p_0)}}{|Q^*|^{m\delta/n}} m 2^{-m\delta j_0} 2^{j_0 mn/p_0}|Q^*|^{m/p_0} \\ &\times \big(\frac{1}{|2^{j_0}Q^*|}\int_{2^{j_0}Q^*} |(b(y_1)-b_{Q^*})f_1(y_1)|^{p_0}dy_1\big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \prod_{j=2}^m \big(\frac{1}{|2^{j_0}Q^*|}\int_{2^{j_0}Q^*}|f_j(y_j)|^{p_0}dy_j\big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \\ &\le C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}\mathcal{M}_{q_0}(\vec{f})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\delta > n/p_0$ and $x, z \in Q$. Then, by Minkowski's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} II_{\vec{\alpha}:\vec{\alpha}\neq\vec{0}} &\le C\big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nm}} \big(\int_0^\infty \big|K_t(z,\vec{y}) - K_t(x,\vec{y})\big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\big)^{1/2} |(b(y_1)-b_{Q^*})| \\ &\qquad \times \prod_{j=1}^m |f_j^{\alpha_j}(y_j)|d\vec{y}\big)^\delta dz\big)^{1/\delta} \\ &\le C\mathcal{M}_{q_0}(\vec{f})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, the proof of Lemma 4.6 is finished. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We may assume that $||\vec{b}||_{BMO} = 1$. By repeating the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (1), we get $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\delta T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx$ are finite. Since $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in A_{pm/p_0}$, Theorem 1.3 (1) gives that

$$\big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\epsilon(T(\vec{f})))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\big)^{1/p} \le C\big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(T(\vec{f})\big)^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\big)^{1/p} \le C\big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f_i|^{p_i}\omega_i dx\big)^{1/p_i}.$$

It is known from [24] that if $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{P}/p_0,}$ then there exists $q_0 > p_0$ such that $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{P}/q_0}$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{q_0}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C\prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\delta\big(T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\delta^\sharp\big(T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}$$
$$\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathcal{M}_{q_0}(\vec{f}))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}+C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_\epsilon\big(T(\vec{f})\big))^p\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\Big)^{1/p}\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f_i|^{p_i}\omega_i dx\Big)^{1/p_i}.$$

Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

We begin with some basic lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *For $0<\alpha<\infty$, let*

$$\Phi(t)=t(1+\log^+ t)^\alpha,\quad 0<t<\infty.$$

Then it is a Young function and its complementary Young function is equivalent to

$$\overline{\Phi_1}(t)=\int_0^t\bar{\varphi}_1(s)ds,\quad \textit{where } \bar{\varphi}_1(t)=\begin{cases}t^{1/\alpha}, & 0<t<1\\ e^{t^{1/\alpha}-1}, & t\ge 1.\end{cases}$$

Proof. Let

$$\Phi_0(t)=\begin{cases}t^{1+\alpha}, & 0<t<1,\\ t(1+\log t)^\alpha, & 1\le t<\infty.\end{cases}$$

Then $\Phi_0(t)\sim\Phi(t)$ and

$$\phi_0(t)=\Phi_0'(t)=\begin{cases}(1+\alpha)t^\alpha, & 0<t<1,\\ (1+\log t)^\alpha+\alpha(1+\log t)^{\alpha-1}, & 1<t<\infty.\end{cases}$$

Futhermore,

$$\phi_0'(t)=\begin{cases}\alpha(1+\alpha)t^{\alpha-1}, & 0<t<1,\\ \frac{\alpha(1+\log t)^{\alpha-1}}{t}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(1+\log t)^{\alpha-2}}{t}, & 1<t<\infty.\end{cases}$$

So, $\Phi_0(t)$ is also a Young function. Let

$$\phi_1(t)=\begin{cases}t^\alpha, & 0<t<1,\\ (1+\log t)^\alpha, & 1\le t<\infty.\end{cases}$$

Then we see that $\phi_1(t)<\phi_0(t)\le(1+\alpha)\phi_1(t)$ $(0<t<\infty)$ and

$$\phi_1'(t)=\begin{cases}\alpha t^{\alpha-1}, & 0<t<1,\\ \frac{\alpha(1+\log t)^{\alpha-1}}{t}, & 1<t<\infty.\end{cases}$$

Hence $\Phi_1(t)=\int_0^t\phi_1(s)ds$ is a Young function and is equivalent to $\Phi_0(t)$ and so to $\Phi(t)$.

The inverse function of $\phi_1(t)$ is given by

$$\bar{\phi}_1(t)=\begin{cases}t^{1/\alpha}, & 0<t<1,\\ e^{t^{1/\alpha}-1}, & t\ge 1,\end{cases}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 5.1.

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in L^p \log^p L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 \le p < \infty$. Then, for any ball Q, $x \in Q$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\Big(\frac{1}{|2^jQ|}\int_{2^jQ} |(b(y)-b_Q)f(y)|^p dy\Big)^{1/p} \le C(j+1)||b||_{BMO} M_{L^p \log^p L}(f)(x).$$

Proof. (a) The case $j = 0$. Let Q be a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\Phi(t)$ and $\overline{\Phi_1}(t)$ be in Lemma 5.1 as $\alpha = p$. Then by the Hölder inequality in Orlicz spaces, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |(b(y)-b_Q)f(y)|^p dy \le C\|b\|_*^p \|((b(y)-b_Q)/\|b\|_*)^p\|_{\bar\Phi_1,Q}\||f|^p\|_{\Phi,Q}. \tag{5.1}$$

Note that

$$\overline{\Phi_1}(t) \le \int_0^t e^{s^{1/\alpha}} ds =: \Psi(t).$$

Thus, for any $c > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \bar\Phi_1\Big(\frac{|b(y)-b_Q|^p}{(c\|b\|_*)^p}\Big)dy &\le \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \Psi\Big(\frac{|b(y)-b_Q|^p}{(c\|b\|_*)^p}\Big)dy \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \int_0^{\frac{|b(y)-b_Q|^p}{(c\|b\|_*)^p}} e^{s^{1/p}} ds\, dy \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \int_0^{\infty} \chi_{\{\frac{|b(y)-b_Q|^p}{(c\|b\|_*)^p} > s\}} e^{s^{1/p}} ds\, dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{s^{1/p}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \chi_{\{\frac{|b(y)-b_Q|^p}{(c\|b\|_*)^p} > s\}} dy\right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the John-Nirenberg inequality, there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$|\{x \in Q : |b(x) - b_Q| > \lambda\}| \le c_2|Q|e^{-c_1\lambda/\|b\|_*}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Hence, choosing c big enough such that $c_1 c > 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \bar\Phi_1\Big(\frac{|b(y)-b_Q|^p}{(c\|b\|_*)^p}\Big) \le \int_0^\infty e^{s^{1/p}} c_2 e^{-c_1 c s^{1/p}} ds = c_2 \int_0^\infty e^{-(c_1c-1)s^{1/p}} ds < \infty,$$

which shows that the norm $\|((b(y)-b_Q)/\|b\|_*)^p\|_{\bar\Phi_1,Q}$ is bounded by a constant depending on p, c_1, c_2. Combining this with (5.1) gives

$$\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |(b(y)-b_Q)f(y)|^p dy\Big)^{1/p} \le C\|b\|_{BMO} M_{L^p \log^p L}(f)(x),$$

for any $x \in Q$.

(b) The case $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Minkowski inequality and step (a), one obtains

$$\Big(\frac{1}{|2^jQ|}\int_{2^jQ} |(b(y)-b_Q)f(y)|^p dy\Big)^{1/p}$$

$$\le \sum_{l=1}^{j} \Big(\frac{1}{|2^jQ|}\int_{2^jQ}|(b_{2^lQ}-b_{2^{l-1}Q})f(y)|^p dy\Big)^{1/p} + \Big(\frac{1}{|2^jQ|}\int_{2^jQ}|(b(y)-b_{2^jQ})f(y)|^p dy\Big)^{1/p}$$
$$\le \sum_{l=1}^{j}\frac{2^n}{|2^lQ|}\int_{2^lQ}|(b(y)-b_{2^lQ})|dy\Big(\frac{1}{|2^jQ|}\int_{2^jQ}|f(y)|^p dy\Big)^{1/p} + C||b||_{BMO}\||f|^p\|_{\Phi,Q}$$
$$\le C(j+1)||b||_{BMO}M_{L^p\log^p L}(f)(x).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2.

□

Using Lemma 5.2, we can improve Lemma 4.6 as follows.

Lemma 5.3. *Let T be a multilinear square function with a kernel satisfying conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3) for some $1 \le p_0 < \infty$. Then, for any $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < \min\{1, \frac{p_0}{m}\}$, there is a constant $C < \infty$ such that for any bounded and compactly supported $f_j, j = 1, \dots, m$.*

$$M_\delta^\sharp\big(T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)(x) \le C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathcal{M}_\Phi^{(i)}(\vec{f})(x) + M_\varepsilon\big(T(\vec{f})\big)(x)\Big),$$

where $\Phi(t) = t^{p_0}(1+\log^+ t)^{p_0}$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_\Phi^{(i)}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q\ni x}\|f_i\|_{\Phi,Q}\prod_{j\ne i}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f_j(y)|^{p_0}\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 will be based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.4. *Let T be a multilinear square function with a kernel satisfying condition condition (H1), (H2) and (H3) for some $1 \le p_0 < \infty$. Let ω be an A_∞ weight and let $\Phi(t) = t^{p_0}(1+\log^+ t)^{p_0}$. Suppose that $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. Then, there exists a constant C (independent of $\vec{b}$) such that the following inequality holds*

$$\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}(y)| > t^m\}) \le C\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |\mathcal{M}_\Phi^{(i)}\vec{f}(x)| > t^m\}),$$

for all bounded vector function $\vec{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_m)$ with compact support.

Proof. We borrow some ideas from Theorem 3.19 in [24]. We may assume $||b_j||_{BMO} = 1$, for $j = 1, \cdots, m$. It is enough to prove the result for

$$T_b(\vec{f})(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \Big|\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m}(b_1(x)-b_1(y_1))K_t(x,\vec{y})\prod_{j=1}^{m}f_j(y_j)dy_1\dots dy_m\Big|^2\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 1/m$. By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, it suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |M_\delta(T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f})(y)|>t^m\})\\ \leq C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^{(1)}_\Phi(\vec{f})(y)>t^m\}).\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

However, Lemma 4.2 yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |M_\delta T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f})(y)|>t^m\})\\ \leq C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |M^\sharp_\delta(T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f})(y)|>t^m\}),\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

whenever the left-hand side is finite. Therefore, (5.3) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |M^\sharp_\delta(T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f})(y)|>t^m\})\\ \leq C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^{(1)}_\Phi(\vec{f})(y)>t^m\}).\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

In order to use Fefferman-Stein inequality, we claim the following inequalities hold:

$$\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |M_\delta(T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f})(y)|>t^m\})<\infty.\tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |M_\varepsilon(T\vec{f})(y)|>t^m\})<\infty.\tag{5.7}$$

Admitting the claim first, we will prove (5.5). Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.6 and Fefferman-Stein inequality yield that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : |M^\sharp_\delta(T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f})(y)|>t^m\})\\ &\leq C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^{(1)}_\Phi(\vec{f})(y)+M_\varepsilon(T\vec{f})(y)>t^m\})\\ &\leq C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^{(1)}_\Phi(\vec{f})(y)>t^m\})\\ &\quad + C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : M_\varepsilon(T\vec{f})(y)>t^m\})\\ &\leq C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^{(1)}_\Phi(\vec{f})(y)>t^m\})\\ &\quad + C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})(y)>t^m\})\\ &\leq C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)}\omega(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^{(1)}_\Phi(\vec{f})(y)>t^m\}).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we only need to show that (5.6) holds, by the reason that the proof of (5.7) is very similar but much easier. We assume that the b_j and ω are bounded. Suppose that $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B(0,R)$. Hence, since $\Phi(t) \geq t^{p_0}$ and $0 < \delta < 1/m$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)} \omega(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_\delta(|T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}|)(y) > t^m\}) \\
&\leq C||\omega||_{L^\infty} \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)} \Big|\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{m\delta}(|T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}|^{1/m})(y) > t\}\Big| \\
&\leq C \sup_{t>0} t^{p_0} \Big|\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}(y)|^{1/m} > t\}\Big| \\
&\leq C \sup_{t>0} t^{p_0} \Big|\{y \in B_{3R} : |T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}(y)|^{1/m} > t\}\Big| + \sup_{t>0} t^{p_0} \Big|\{y \in B_{3R}^c : |T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}(y)|^{1/m} > t\}\Big| \\
&= I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

We first consider the contribution of I. Taking $r > 1$, by the Assumption (H1) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$I \leq C \int_{B(0,3R)} |T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}(y)|^{p_0/m} dy \leq C R^{(1-1/r)n} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big| T\vec{f}(y) \Big|^{p_0 r/m} dy \Big)^{1/r} < \infty.$$

For the contribution of II, note that we may control $|T_{\vec{b}}\vec{f}(x)|$ by $\mathcal{M}_{p_0}\vec{f}(x)$ if we assume that b is bounded. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
II^m &\leq C t^{mp_0} |\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{p_0}\vec{f}(y)^{1/m} > t\}|^m \\
&\leq \big(\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}\vec{f}\|_{L^{p_0/m,\infty}} \big)^{p_0} \leq C \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i(x)\|_{p_0} \Big)^{p_0} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the claim (5.5) is proved. Hence, we finish the proof of Lemma 5.4. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$ and $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{1}}$. Then, there exists a constant C such that for $1 \leq i \leq m$*

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}\big(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\mathcal{M}_\Phi^{(i)}\vec{f}(x)| > t^m\}\big) \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\Big(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{t}\Big) \omega_j(x) dx \Big)^{1/m},$$

where $\Phi(t) = t^{p_0}(1 + \log^+ t)^{p_0}$.

Proof. Some ideas will be taken from the proof of Theorem 3.17 in [24]. By homogeneity, we may assume that $t = 1$ and $\vec{f} \geq 0$. Set

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_\Phi^{(i)}\vec{f}(x) > 1\}.$$

It is easy to see that Ω is open and we may assume that it is not empty. To estimate the size of Ω, it is enough to estimate the size of every compact set F contained in Ω. We note that we may use cubes in place of balls in the definition of maximal functions. Now, we can cover any such F by a finite family of cubes Q_j for which

$$1 < \|f_1\|_{\Phi,Q_i} \prod_{j=2}^m (f_j)_{Q_j}.$$

Using Vitali's covering lemma, we can extract a subfamily of disjoint cubes Q_i such that

$$F \subset \bigcup_i 3Q_i.$$

By homogeneity,

$$1 < \Big\| f_1 \prod_{j=2}^m \big((f_j^{p_0})_{Q_i}\big)^{1/p_0} \Big\|_{\Phi,Q_i}$$

and by the properties of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi,Q_i}$, this is the same as

$$1 < \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i} \Phi\Big(f_1(y) \prod_{j=2}^m \big((f_j^{p_0})_{Q_i}\big)^{1/p_0}\Big)dy.$$

Using the fact that Φ is submultiplicative, it yields that

$$1 < \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i} \Phi\big(f_1\big)dy \prod_{j=2}^m \Phi\big(\big((f_j^{p_0})_{Q_i}\big)^{1/p_0}\big).$$

Let $\Phi_0(t) = t(1+\log^+ t)^{p_0}$. By the Jensen inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi\big(\big((f_j^{p_0})_{Q_i}\big)^{1/p_0}\big) &= \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i} |f_j(y)|^{p_0}dy\Big(1+\frac{1}{p_0}\log^+\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}|f_j(y)|^{p_0}dy\Big)^{p_0}\\
&\le \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i} |f_j(y)|^{p_0}dy\Big(1+\log^+\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}|f_j(y)|^{p_0}dy\Big)^{p_0}\\
&= \Phi_0\Big(\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}|f_j(y)|^{p_0}dy\Big)\\
&\le \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\Phi_0(|f_j|^{p_0})(y)dy\\
&= \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}|f_j(y)|^{p_0}\Big(1+\log^+|f_j(y)|^{p_0}dy\Big)^{p_0}dy\\
&\le \frac{p_0^{p_0}}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}|f_j(y)|^{p_0}(1+\log^+|f_j(y)|)^{p_0}dy\\
&= \frac{p_0^{p_0}}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\Phi(|f_j|)(y)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by the condition assumed on the weights and the Hölder inequality at, one obtains discrete level,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\vec\omega}(F)^m &\approx \Big(\sum_i \nu_{\vec\omega}(Q_i)\Big)^m \le p_0^{p_0}\Big(\sum_i\prod_{j=1}^m \inf_Q \omega_j^{1/m}|Q_i|^{1/m}\Big(\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\Phi\big(f_j\big)dy\Big)^{1/m}\Big)^m\\
&\le p_0^{p_0}\Big(\sum_i\prod_{j=1}^m\Big(\int_{Q_i}\Phi\big(f_j(y)\big)\omega_j(y)dy\Big)^{1/m}\Big)^m \le p_0^{p_0}\prod_{j=1}^m\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi\left(|f_j(x)|\right)\omega_j(x)dx\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Lemma 5.5. □

Using the above lemmas, now, we can show Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. It is enough to prove the result for the operator T_b defined in (5.2). By homogeneity we may assume $t = 1$. Since Φ is submultiplicative, Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 yield that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\nu_{\vec{\omega}}\left(\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : T_b\vec{f}(x) > 1\right\}\right)^m \\
&\quad\le C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)^m}\nu_{\vec{\omega}}\left(\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : T_b\vec{f}(x) > t^m\right\}\right)^m \\
&\quad\le C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)^m}\nu_{\vec{\omega}}\left(\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_\Phi^{(1)}\vec{f}(x) > t^m\right\}\right)^m \\
&\quad\le C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)^m}\prod_{j=1}^m\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{t})\omega_j(x)dx \qquad (5.8)\\
&\quad\le C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi(1/t)^m}\prod_{j=1}^m\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi(|f_j(x)|)\Phi(1/t)\omega_j(x)dx \\
&\quad\le C\prod_{j=1}^m\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi(|f_j(x)|)\omega_j(x)dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

This complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.

References

[1] T. A. Bui and X. T. Duong. *On commutators of vector BMO functions and multilinear singular integrals with non-smmoth kernels*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **371** (2010) 80-84.

[2] T. A. Bui and X. T. Duong, *Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear operators and applications to multilinear Fourier multipliers*, Bull. Sci. Math. **137** (1) (2013), 63-75.

[3] X. Chen, Q. Xue, K. Yabuta, *On multilinear Littlewood-Paley operators*, Nonlinear Anal. **115** (2015) 25-40.

[4] R. R. Coifman, D. Deng, Y. Meyer, *Domains de la racine carre de certains oprateurs diffrentiels accrtifs*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **33** (1983), 123-134.

[5] R. R. Coifman, A. McIntosh, Y. Meyer, *Lintegrale de Cauchy definit un operateur borne sur L^2 pour les courbes lips-chitziennes*, Ann. of Math. **116** (1982), 361-387.

[6] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 315-331.

[7] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **28** (1978), 177-202.

[8] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Au-delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Asterisque 57, 1978.

[9] G. David, J. L. Journé, *Une caractrisation des oprateurs intgraux singuliers borns sur $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **296** (1983), 761-764.

[10] C. Fefferman and E. Stein. *Some maximal inequalities*. Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 107-115.

[11] L. Grafakos and R. Torres, *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. Math. **165** (2002), 124-164.

[12] M. Fujita and N. Tomita, *Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear Fourier multipliers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 6335–6353.

[13] E. B. Fabes, D. Jerison, C. Kenig, *Multilinear Littlewood-Paley estimates with applications to partial differential equations*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **79** (1982), 5746-5750.

[14] E. B. Fabes, D. Jerison, C. Kenig, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for absolute continuity of elliptic harmonic measure*, Ann. of Math. **119** (1984), 121-141.
[15] E. B. Fabes, D. Jerison, C. Kenig, *Multilinear square functions and partial differential equations*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), 1325-1368.
[16] J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
[17] L. Grafakos, D. He and P. Honzík, *Rough bilinear singular integrals*, available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.06099.
[18] L. Grafakos, R. H. Torres, *Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **51**(5) (2002), 1261-1276.
[19] L. Grafakos, L. Liu and D. Yang, *Multiple weighted norm inequalities for maximal multilinear singular integrals with non-smooth kernels*, Proc. Roy. Soc. of Edinburgh Sect. A **141** (2011),755-775.
[20] L. Grafakos, A. Miyachi, N. Tomita, *On multilinear Fourier multipliers of limited smoothness*. Canad. J. Math. **65** (2) (2013), 299-330.
[21] L. Grafakos, Z. Si, *The Hörmander multiplier theorem for multilinear operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **668** (2012), 133-147.
[22] G. Hu and C.-C. Lin, *Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integral operators and applications*, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) **12** (3)(2014), 269-291.
[23] M. Lacey, *On bilinear Littlewood-Paley square functions*, Publ. Mat. **40**(2) (1996), 387-396.
[24] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres and R. Trujillo-González, *New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. Math. **220**(4) (2009), 1222-1264.
[25] K. Li and W. Sun, *Weighted estimates for multilinear Fourier multipliers*, Forum Math. **27**(2)(2015), 1101-1116
[26] W. Li, Q. Xue and K. Yabuta, *Weighted version of Carleson measure and multilinear Fourier multiplier*, Forum Math. **27** (2) (2015),787-805.
[27] G. Lu, P. Zhang, *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with kernels of Dini's type and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **107** (2014), 92-117.
[28] D. Maldonado, V. Naibo, *Weighted norm inequalities for paraproducts and bilinear pseudodifferential operators with mild regularity*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **15** (2009), 218-261.
[29] S. Shi, Q. Xue, K. Yabuta, *On the boundedness of multilinear Littlewood-Paley g_λ^* function*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **101**(3) (2014), 394-413.
[30] N. Tomita, *A Hörmander type multiplier theorem for multilinear operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **259**(2010), 2028-2044.
[31] Q. Xue, X. Peng, K. Yabuta, *On the theory of multilinear Littlewood-Paley g function*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **67** (2) (2015), 535-559.
[32] Q. Xue and J. Yan, *On multilinear square function and its applications to multilinear Littlewood-Paley operators with non-convolution type kernels*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **422** (2015), 1342-1362.

Zengyan Si, School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454000, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: zengyan@hpu.edu.cn

Qingying Xue, School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn

Kôzô Yabuta, Research Center for Mathematical Sciences, Kwansei Gakuin University, Gakuen 2-1, Sanda 669-1337, Japan